arXiv:cond-mat/0608259v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 11 Aug 2006

Quantum Phase Transition in Finite-Size Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick Model

Gang Chen[a,b] , J.-Q. Liang[a]
[a] *Institute of Theoretical Physics, Shanxi University, Taiyuan 030006, China and*
[b] *Department of physics, Shaoxing College of Arts and Sciences, Shaoxing 312000, China*

Lipkin model of arbitrary particle-number N is studied in terms of exact differential-operator representation of spin-operators from which we obtain the low-lying energy spectrum with the instanton method of quantum tunneling. Our new observation is that the well known quantum phase transition can also occur in the finite-N model only if N is an odd-number. We furthermore demonstrate a new type of quantum phase transition characterized by level-crossing which is induced by the geometric phase interference and is marvelously periodic with respect to the coupling parameter. Finally the conventional quantum phase transition is understood intuitively from the tunneling formulation in the thermodynamic limit.

PACS numbers: 75.40.cx, 64.60.-i, 03.65.Xp, 03.65.Vf

The Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick (LMG) model of many-body two-level system[1, 2, 3], which was invented originally for the study of nuclear giant monopole[4], is exactly solvable[5, 6, 7] and has wide applications in the statistical mechanics of mutually interacting spins[8, 9] and the Bose-Einstein condensates[10]. Recently, it has been regarded as an important model to explore the novel relation between the quantum entanglement and quantum phase transition (QPT)[11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. QPT describing structural change of the ground-state energy-spectrum of many-body systems associated with the variation of coupling parameters has attracted considerable attentions in the modern theoretical and experimental communities[16]. As a matter of fact QPT originates from singularity of the energy spectrum[17] and any non-analyticity point in the ground-state energy of the infinite-lattice-system can be identified as a QPT. There can be a level-crossing where the excited-state and ground-state levels interchange at the critical-point, creating non-analyticity of the ground-state energy which is a function of the coupling parameter[16]. It has been demonstrated that the LMG model described by the Hamiltonian[18]

$$\widehat{H} = -\lambda \sum_{i<j} (\widehat{\sigma}_x^i \widehat{\sigma}_x^j \pm \gamma \widehat{\sigma}_y^i \widehat{\sigma}_y^j) - h \sum_i \widehat{\sigma}_z^i, \qquad (1)$$

undergoes a QPT from a deformed to a normal phases at the critical-value of coupling parameter $h_c = \lambda' = N\lambda$, where the parameter λ' is used to avoid the formal infinity[18, 20] in the thermodynamic limit $N \to \infty$ and $\widehat{\sigma}_\alpha$ is the Pauli matrices. In the deformed phase when $h \leq h_c$ the ground state is degenerate while is non-degenerate in the normal phase when $h \geq h_c$. For our convenience we confine the parameters $\lambda > 0$, $0 < \gamma < 1$ and consider the two cases denoted by " ± " signs separately. In spin language the deformed-phase corresponds to a long-range magnetic order and may be regarded as a ferromagnet, while the transverse-magnetic-field h lowering the potential-barrier induces quantum tunneling between two generate-states and eventually destroy the magnetic-order at the critical point $h = h_c$. A similar QPT was indeed observed with the ferromagnetic moment vanishing continuously at a quantum critical point of the transverse field in the low-lying magnetic excitations of the insulator LiHoFe$_4$ [19].

The study of scaling properties at the critical point for a finite-N model is of primer interest and has become a hard topic recently[18, 20]. Based on mean-field approximation it is found that particle-number-dependence of the energy gap between the ground and first-excited states behaviors as $\exp[-N]$ in the deformed phase[18, 20]. However QPT from deformed to normal phases, which we called the conventional QPT (CQPT), actually does not exist for a finite-N [8] since the quantum tunneling can remove the degeneracy leading to the tunnel splitting ΔE even at $h = 0$ and thus the ground-state energy-structure, strictly speaking, does not have macroscopic change. The energy gap ΔE vanishes in the thermodynamic limit $N \to \infty$ and the ground state becomes degenerate. The quantum tunneling effect of the finite-N model, which gives rise to a rich structure of low-lying energy spectrum has not yet been studied explicitly. Based on the tunneling effect obtained in this Letter we demonstrate that the CQPT can occur in the finite-N model if N is an odd-number due to the quenching of quantum tunneling induced by quantum-phase interference and the magnetic-order survives from the tunneling till the potential-barrier separating the two degenerate-states is suppressed sufficiently low by the transverse field.

Moreover we find a new type of QPT characterized by the level-crossing which is induced also by quantum-phase-interference. The ground and first-excited states interchange alternatively with the increase of h resulting in periodic QPT. The finite-N Lipkin model is studied in terms of the exact differential-operator representation of the spin-operators which gives rise to a potential-barrier tunneling Hamiltonian and the low-lying energy spectrum is obtained by means of instanton method. From the viewpoint of tunneling the CQPT can be understood intuitively since the potential-barrier height decreases with the increase of h and finally disappears at the critical field-value. The level-crossing, however, does not appear at all in the CQPT.

We adopt the giant-spin operators defined by $\widehat{S}_l = \sum_i^N \widehat{\sigma}_l^i/2$ $(l = x, y, z)$ to convert the N-particle model into an anisotropic giant-spin Hamiltonian

$$\widehat{H} = -\lambda(\hat{S}_x^2 \pm \gamma \hat{S}_y^2) - h\hat{S}_z. \tag{2}$$

with the total spin-quantum-number $s = N/2,\ N/2-1,$ $N/2-2\cdots, 1/2$, or 0 depending on odd- or even-N and a factor 2 absorbed into the parameters λ and h. Because of the ferromagnetic interaction between spins, $\lambda > 0$, the ground state and the first-excited states always lie in the subspace of maximum spin [18] $s = N/2$. The Hamiltonian of ”$+$” sign describes a giant-spin with an easy axis-x (lowest energy) and a hard axis-z (highest energy). The external magnetic field h is applied along the hard axis, which is critical in generating the QPT of level-crossing. For the ”$-$” sign case y-axis becomes the hard-axis and the magnetic field is then along the medium axis.

We start from stationary Schrödinger equation $\widehat{H}\Phi(\varphi) = E\Phi(\varphi)$ with Hamiltonian of ”$-$” sign and a coordinate-frame rotation such that the hard-axis and easy-axis are along z and x respectively for the sake of convenience, where φ can be visualized as the azimuthal angle of the giant-spin vector and the generating function[21] $\Phi(\varphi)$ can be constructed in terms of the conventional eigenstates of $\widehat{S}_z$ such as $\Phi(\varphi) = \sum_{m=-s}^{s} C_m \exp(im\varphi)/\sqrt{(s-m)!(s+m)!}$, which obviously satisfies the boundary condition, $\Phi(\varphi + 2\pi) = \exp(2\pi is)\Phi(\varphi)$. Thus, we have periodic wave functions for even-N and anti-periodic wave functions for odd-N. The explicit form of the spin operator acting on the wave function $\Phi(\varphi)$ is seen to be[21] $\widehat{S}_x = s\cos\varphi - \sin\varphi\frac{d}{d\varphi}$, $\widehat{S}_y = s\sin\varphi + \cos\varphi\frac{d}{d\varphi}$, and $\widehat{S}_z = -i\frac{d}{d\varphi}$. Substituting these differential operators into the Schrödinger equation we obtain $\{-\alpha(1-\delta\sin^2\varphi)\frac{d^2}{d\varphi^2} - [\lambda(s-\frac{1}{2})\sin 2\varphi - h\sin\varphi]\frac{d}{d\varphi} + [\lambda(s^2\cos^2\varphi + s\sin^2\varphi) - hs\cos\varphi]\}\Phi(\varphi) = E\Phi(\varphi)$, where $\alpha = \lambda(1+\gamma)$, $\delta = 1/(1+\gamma)$. In the new variable x defined as $x(\varphi) = \int_0^\varphi d\varphi'/\sqrt{1-\delta\sin^2\varphi'} = F(\varphi,\delta)$, which is the incomplete elliptic integral of the first kind with modulus $\sqrt{\delta}$, the trigonometric functions $\sin\varphi$ and $\cos\varphi$ become the Jacobian elliptic functions $sn(x)$ and $cn(x)$ with the same modulus, respectively[22]. Using the transformation such that $\Phi[\phi(x)] = dn^s(x)\exp\{-h\tan^{-1}[cn(x)/\sqrt{\gamma}]/2\lambda\sqrt{\gamma}\}\psi(x)$, where $dn(x) = \sqrt{1-\delta sn^2(x)}$ is also a Jacobian elliptic function, the stationary Schrödinger equation becomes

$$[-\alpha\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(x)]\psi(x) = E\psi(x), \tag{3}$$

with the scalar potential given by $V(x) = [\zeta cn^2(x) - h(s+\frac{1}{2})cn(x) + h^2/4\alpha]/dn^2(x)$, $\quad \zeta = \lambda s(s+1) - h^2/4\alpha$. The boundary condition of wave function becomes $\psi(x+4K) = \exp(2\pi is)\psi(x)$ with K denoting the complete elliptic integral of the first kind. In the absence of external field $h = 0$ the scalar potential $V(x)$ is symmetric with respect to the coordinate origin (see Fig.(1), red-line) with potential minima located at $x_\pm = \pm K$ in one-period corresponding to the original azimuthal angles $\varphi_\pm = 0, \pi$ which are two equilibrium orientations of the giant-spin. Disregarding the negligibly small quantum tunneling the two ground states are degenerate. The external field suppresses the potential barrier and thus increases the tunneling rate which destroys the magnetic order gradually. We now calculate the tunneling rate between the two degenerate-states. To this end we begin with the tunneling induced Feynman propagator through the potential barrier

$$< x_-, \beta | x_+, -\beta > = < x_- | e^{-2\beta\widehat{H}} | x_+ > = \int \mathcal{D}\{x\} e^{-S} \tag{4}$$

where $S = \lim_{\beta\to\infty}\int_{-\beta}^{\beta}\mathcal{L}_e d\tau$ is the Euclidean action evaluated along the tunneling trajectory of a pseudoparticle in the barrier region called instanton with the Euclidean Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_e = \dot{x}^2/4\alpha + U(x)$. $\dot{x} = dx/d\tau$ denotes the imaginary-time derivative with $\tau = it$. The quantum tunneling removes the degeneracy of ground-states $|\psi_{c\pm}\rangle$ in two potential wells located at $x_\pm$ respectively which describe the two equilibrium-orientations of the giant-spin and thus may be called the Schrödinger cat states. In the two-level approximation we have $\widehat{H}\,|1\rangle = E_1\,|1\rangle$, $\widehat{H}\,|0\rangle = E_0\,|0\rangle$, with $|0\rangle$, $|1\rangle = (|\psi_{c+}\rangle \pm |\psi_{c-}\rangle)/\sqrt{2}$ being the ground and first-excited states. The low-lying energy spectrum can be evaluated as $E_{1,0} = \overline{E} \pm \Delta E/2$ with $\overline{E} =< \psi_{c\pm}|\widehat{H}|\psi_{c\pm} >$, and $\Delta E = E_1 - E_0 = -(< \psi_{c+}|\widehat{H}|\psi_{c-} > + < \psi_{c-}|\widehat{H}|\psi_{c+} >)$. Inserting the complete set $|\psi_{c\pm}\rangle$ in the transition amplitude eq.(4) the tunnel splitting can be derived from the path-integral

$$\Delta E \sim \frac{e^{2\beta\overline{E}}}{2\beta\psi_{c+}(x_+)\psi_{c-}^*(x_-)}\int \mathcal{D}\{x\}e^{-S}, \tag{5}$$

which can be evaluated in terms of the stationary-phase approximation that $\int \mathcal{D}\{x\}e^{-S} \sim Ie^{-S_c}$ where S_c is the action along the classical trajectory of instanton $x_c(\tau)$ i.e. the solution of classical equation of motion $\delta S = 0$. $I = \int \mathcal{D}\{\eta\}e^{-\frac{\delta^2 S}{\delta x\delta x}|_{x_c}}$ denotes the contribution of quantum fluctuation around the classical trajectory such that $x(\tau) = x_c(\tau) + \eta(\tau)$ with η being the small fluctuation. Working out the path-integral with both the clockwise and anti-clockwise under barrier rotations (see Fig(1)) and wave functions $\psi_{c\pm}(x_\pm)$ noticing the boundary condition we obtain the level splitting

$$\Delta E = Pe^{-W}\sqrt{\cosh\chi + \cos 2\pi s} \tag{6}$$

with $P = 2^{7/2}\{U_0^3\lambda(1+\gamma)(1-\varrho^2)^5/[\gamma/(1+\gamma) + \varrho^2/(1+\gamma)]^2\pi^2\}^{1/4}$, $W = \sqrt{U_0(1+\gamma)/\lambda}\ln[(1 +$

$\sqrt{(1-\varrho^2)/(1+\gamma)})/(1-\sqrt{(1-\varrho^2)/(1+\gamma)})]$, and $\chi = 2\varrho\sqrt{U_0/\lambda\gamma}\tan^{-1}[\sqrt{\gamma(1-\varrho^2)/(1+\gamma)}/\varrho]$, where $U_0 = [\zeta_1 - \zeta_3/\gamma + \sqrt{(\zeta_1-\zeta_3/\gamma)^2 + \zeta_2^2/\gamma}]/2$ and $\varrho = \zeta_2/2U_0$ with $\zeta_1 = \lambda s(s+1) - h^2/4\lambda(1+\gamma)$, $\zeta_2 = h(s+1/2)$ and $\zeta_3 = h^2/4\lambda(1+\gamma)$. When $h = 0$ we have $\chi = 0$ and the square-root factor in eq.(6), which is a direct result of quantum phase interference of wave functions in eq.(5) between two tunnel paths (Fig.(1)), is $\sqrt{2}$ for even-N. The quantum tunneling destroys the magnetic order and the ground state $|0\rangle$ becomes paramagnet. There is no QPT for finite-N. However the situation is different for the odd-N case where the square-root factor in eq.(6) becomes zero leading to vanishing tunnel splitting. The degeneracy of ground states at $h = 0$ cannot be removed by tunneling similar to the Kramer's degeneracy in spin system and the ferromagnetic ground states $|\psi_{c\pm}\rangle$ remain. Increase of the magnetic field suppresses the potential barrier seen from Fig.(1) and enhances the tunneling rate which destroys the magnetic order gradually. The system thus undergoes a smooth second order QPT. The critical transition point $h_c = \lambda(1-\gamma)(s+1/2) + \lambda\sqrt{(1-\gamma)^2(s+1/2)^2 + 4\gamma s(s+1)}$ can be determined precisely from the condition that the central barrier-height vanishes and the double-well of the potential becomes a single one (see Fig(1)), which reduces exactly to $h_c^{th} = \lambda'$ in the thermodynamic limit[18, 20]. The numerical simulation of the low-lying levels is shown in Fig.(2) the energy gap obtained from which agrees with our gap formula eq.(6) perfectly for any s. From eq.(6) the finite-size scaling behavior of the energy gap is $\Delta E \sim \exp[-N]$ which vanishes in the thermodynamic limit no matter N is even or odd.

We now consider the most interesting case of "+" sign where the external field is along the hard-axis (z-axis). The Hamiltonian operator does not possess a satisfactory differential-operator representation[23]. The Feynman propagator eq.(4) in angle variables may be evaluated with the spin-coherent-state path-integrals with the spin coherent state defined by $\widehat{S}\cdot\mathbf{n}|\mathbf{n}>= s|\mathbf{n}>$ where $\mathbf{n} = (\sin\theta\cos\varphi, \sin\theta\sin\varphi, \cos\theta)$ is a unit vector[24, 25]. Regarding φ, $p = s\cos\theta$ as canonical variables and integrating over variable p we have the effective Lagrangian given by $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}m(\varphi)\dot{\varphi}^2 + A(\varphi)\dot{\varphi} - V(\varphi)$,where $m(\varphi) = 1/2\lambda(1-(1-\gamma)\sin^2\varphi)$, $A(\varphi) = s[1-h/2\lambda s(1-(1-\gamma)\sin^2\varphi)]$,and $V(\varphi) = \lambda(1-\gamma)s(s+1)\sin^2\varphi - h^2(1-\gamma)\sin^2\varphi/4\lambda(1-(1-\gamma)\sin^2\varphi)$. The periodic potential $V(\varphi)$ has degenerate vacua located at $\varphi = 0$, π corresponding to the equilibrium orientations of the giant spin along ±x directions. We again consider the tunneling induced propagator between two degenerate vacua. The total-time-derivative term $A(\varphi)\dot{\varphi}$ coming from the geometric phase of the path-integral does not affect the classical equation of motion but gives rise to the quantum phase interference between the clockwise and anti-clockwise tunneling paths. With the same procedure the tunnel splitting is found as

$$\Delta E = Pe^{-W}\cos[\pi(s-\theta_h)] \qquad (7)$$

with $P = 2^4\sqrt{s^3\lambda^2(1-\gamma)^{3/2}(1-\eta)^{5/2}/\pi(\gamma-\eta)}$,

$W = \sqrt{s(s+1)}\{\ln[(\sqrt{1-\eta} + \sqrt{1-\gamma})/(\sqrt{1-\eta} - \sqrt{1-\gamma})] - \sqrt{\eta/\gamma}\ln[(1+\sqrt{\varsigma})/(1-\sqrt{\varsigma})]\}$ and $\theta_h = h/2\lambda\sqrt{\gamma}$, where $\eta = h^2/4\lambda^2 s(s+1)$ and $\varsigma = (1-\gamma)\eta/\gamma(1-\eta)$. We now come to the most interesting observation of the paper that the energy gap is a periodic function of h and the two states interchange periodically. The the QPT of level-crossing arises at the critical points $h_c(n) = 2\lambda n\sqrt{\gamma}$ for odd-N, $(2n+1)\lambda\sqrt{\gamma}$ for even-N, where n is integer. Fig.(3) shows the numerical result of the first-excited (red-line) and ground (blue-line) energy levels for odd-N (a) and even-N (b) respectively. The absolute value of numerical energy-gap as a function of h agrees qualitatively with eq.(7) and the agreement becomes perfect for large N (see Fig(3)-insert) since the spin-coherent-state-path-integral method is valid for large s. For the odd-N case the ground state at $h = 0$ ($\theta_h = 0$) is degenerate since $\cos(\pi s) = 0$. When $h \leq h_c(1)$ quantum tunneling destroys the degeneracy and ground state becomes quantum paramagnetic while the first excited state is ferromagnetic. This can be explained with the Schrödinger cat states that $|\psi_{c\pm}\rangle = |\mathbf{n}_\pm\rangle$ where $\widehat{S}_x|\mathbf{n}_\pm >= \pm s|\mathbf{n}_\pm >$ with the unit vector of spin-coherent-state along the x-axis. We have $< 0|\widehat{S}_x|0 >= 0$, and $< 1|\widehat{S}_x|1 >= s$. Increase of the coupling h crossing over the first critical point $h_c(1)$ the first-excited and ground states interchange and system becomes the ferromagnetic phase. The interchange takes place again at the second critical point and is particularly useful in the quantum computing since the two phases can be used to realize a qubit in some practical spin-system. The magnetization as a function of the magnetic field can be evaluated as $M_{0,1} = -< 0,1|\partial\widehat{H}/\partial h|0,1 >= -\partial E_{0,1}/\partial h$. The numerical simulation of the magnetization is shown in Fig.4. The critical behavior of the energy gap ΔE at the critical point h_c is found as $\Delta E(h \to h_c) = (\pi/\lambda\sqrt{\gamma})P(h_c)e^{-W(h_c)}|h-h_c|$. In the thermodynamic limit the tunnel splitting vanishes as $\lim_{N\to\infty}\Delta E \sim \exp[-N] \to 0$ and the QPT of level-crossing disappears.A critical value $h_c^{th} = \lambda'\sqrt{\gamma}$ of CQPT can be determined again from the vanishing barrier-height, which differs from mean-field value by a factor $\sqrt{\gamma}$.

In conclusion: with the explicit calculation of tunneling effect we show that QPT can occur in finite-size LMG model. The QPT of level-crossing discovered in this paper may provide a possible technique to realize qubit in quantum computer and a paper explaining this procedure will be published elsewhere.

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No.10475053 and by the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province under Grant No.Y605037.

---

[1] H. J. Lipkin, N. Meshkov and N. Glick, Nucl. Phys. A **62**, 188 (1965).

[2] N. Meshkov, N. Glick and H. J. Lipkin, Nucl. Phys. A **62**, 199 (1965).

[3] N. Glick, H. J. Lipkin and N. Meshkov, Nucl. Phys. A **62**, 211 (1965).

[4] S. Fallieros, R.A. Ferrell, Phys. Rev. **116**, 660 (1959).

[5] J. Links, H.-Q. Zhou, R. H. Mckenzie and M. D. Gould, J. Phys. A **36**, R63 (2003).

[6] F. Pan and J. P. Draayer, Phys. Lett. B **451**, 1 (1999).

[7] J. Dukelsky, S. Pittel, and G. Sierra, Rev. Mod. Phys. **76**, 643 (2004).

[8] R. Botet, R. Jullien and P. Pfeuty, Phys. Rev. Lett. **49**, 478 (1982).

[9] R. Botet and R. Jullien, Phys. Rev. B **28**, 3955 (1983).

[10] J. I. Cirac, M. Lewenstein, K. Mølmer and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. A **57**, 1208 (1998).

[11] J. Vidal, G. Palacios and R. Mosseri, Phys. Rev. A **69**, 022107 (2004).

[12] J. Vidal, R. Mosseri and J. Dukelsky, Phys. Rev. A **69**, 054101 (2004).

[13] J. Vidal, G. Palacios and C. Aslangul, Phys. Rev. A **70**, 062304 (2004).

[14] J. I. Latorre, R. Orús, E. Rico and J. Vidal, Phys. Rev. A **71**, 064101 (2005).

[15] L.-A. Wu, M. S. Sarandy and D. A. Lidar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 250404 (2004).

[16] S. Sachdev, *Quantum Phase transitions* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999).

[17] C. N. Yang and T. D. Lee, Phys. Rev. **87**, 410 (1952).

[18] S. Dusuel and J. Vidal, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 237204 (2004).

[19] D. Bitko, T. F. Rosenbaum, and G. Aeppli, Phys. Rev. Lett. **77**, 940 (1996)

[20] F. Leyvraz and W. D. Heiss, Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 050402 (2005).

[21] V. V. Ulyanov and O. B. Zaslavskii, Phys. Rep. **216**, 179 (1992).

[22] P. F. Byrd and M. D. Friedman, *Handbook of Elliptic Integrals for Engineers and Physicists* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1954).

[23] J. -Q. Liang, H. J. W. Müller-Kirsten, D. K. Park and F. -C. Pu, Phys. Rev. B **61**, 8856 (2000).

[24] J. -Q. Liang, H. J. W. Müller-Kirsten and J.-G. Zhou, Z. Phys. B: Condens. Matter **102**, 525 (1997).

[25] J. -Q. Liang, Y. -B. Zhang, H. J. W. Müller-Kirsten, J. -G. Zhou, F. Zimmerschied and F. -C. Pu, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 529 (1998).

Figure Captions

Fig. 1 The potential barriers and clockwise and anti-clockwise tunnel paths for $h = 0$ (red line) and 5 with $\gamma = 0.5$ in the unit $\lambda = 1$.

Fig. 2 The numerical simulation of the first-excited (red-line) and ground-state (blue line) energy levels as a function of h for $N = 11$ and 10 (insert) with $\gamma = 0.95$.

Fig. 3 The numerical simulation of the first-excited and ground-state energy levels as a function of h for $N = 11$ (a) and $N = 10$ (b). Insert: the analytical (solid line) and numerical (dotted line) absolute values of energy gap for $N = 41$ (a) and $N = 40$ (b).

Fig. 4 The first-excited- (red line) and ground-state (blue line) magnetizations M as a function of h with $\gamma = 0.95$, $N = 10$.